

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/713,169	11/13/2003	Bruce D. Williams	13-97	9814	
757 75	. 04/04/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	FER GILSON & LION	Е	FERGUSON, LAWRENCE D		
P.O. BOX 1039 CHICAGO, IL	-	ſ		PAPER NUMBER	
,			1774		

DATE MAILED: 04/04/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<i>&</i>			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/713,169	WILLIAMS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Lawrence D. Ferguson	1774				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address	s			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 136(a). In no event, however, may a rewill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT e, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this community NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 J	lanuary 2006.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
•	_					
 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-24</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdramatic 						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	without consideration.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-24</u> is/are rejected.		•				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
,			•			
Application Papers	•		•			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>13 November 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	· - ·	·				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		•				
1. Certified copies of the priority documen		P. P. Ale				
2. Certified copies of the priority documen	·	·				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price		eceived in this National Stag	je			
application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list		 eceived				
	tor the certified copies flot i	sceiveu.				
•						
•						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Su	ımmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/13/03. 		ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
	•					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Application/Control Number: 10/713,169

Art Unit: 1774

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Election

1. This action is in response to the provisional election mailed January 12, 2006. (Group II) Claims 11-24 were provisionally elected rendering (Group I) Claims 1-10 to a non-elected invention, which are cancelled by Applicant. Because claims 1-10 are cancelled, the restriction requirement mailed December 14, 2005, is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102(b)

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 11-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Collings (U.S. 5,188,871).

Collings discloses verifying the authenticity of a coupon or paper by incorporating a chemical reagent in the paper which on being contacted by an authenticating composition will produce a characteristic colour change (column 1, lines 13-26 and 51-61) where starch is incorporated and the authenticating composition is an acidic solution, where the reaction creates a dark colouration (column 2, lines 31-44). Collings additionally discloses the starch may be applied by a coating or printing technique,

Application/Control Number: 10/713,169 Page 3

Art Unit: 1774

where the printing may be in the form of a pattern (column 4, lines 61-68). The verification of the authenticity of the paper can be done using pens (column 5, lines 14-16). Because Collings discloses a method for verifying the authenticity of a coupon which comprises the same materials and functions as instantly claimed, it is inherent for the first material to have a lower starch content than non-currency grade paper and having a lower trace chemical residual content than non-currency grade paper.

Claim Rejections – 35 USC § 102(b)

4. Claims 11-14 and 16-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ahlm, Jr. et al (U.S. 3,001,887).

Ahlm, Jr. discloses verifying the authenticity of a coupon having a surface printed with an indistinguishable material which will form initially colorless and inherently authenticating words, symbols or designs adapted to be developed in color upon the application of certain chemical agents (column 1, lines 9-45 and 55-65). Because Ahlm, Jr. discloses a method for verifying the authenticity of a coupon which comprises the same materials and functions as instantly claimed, it is inherent for the first material to have a lower starch content than non-currency grade paper and having a lower trace chemical residual content than non-currency grade paper.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103(a)

Application/Control Number: 10/713,169 Page 4

Art Unit: 1774

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Collings (U.S. 5,188,871) in view Kurrle (U.S. 6,214,766).

Collings is relied upon for instant claims 11, 20 and 21. Collings does not explicitly disclose the printing process for applying the coated material to be a flexo device. Kurrle teaches a paper product made to be authenticatable by the application of a printed image containing starch applied to the paper product and where a solution added to the surface of the paper produces an instantaneous blue or black color (column 3, lines 20-31) where the coated printed material can be applied using a flexography printing process. Collings and Kurrle are both directed to verifying the authenticity of a paper product. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have applied to coated printed material using flexography, as taught in Kurrle, in the paper or coupon of Collings to produce an improved layer of material on the surface of the paper which will not readily come off the surface.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103(a)

7. Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ahlm, Jr. et al (U.S. 3,001,887) in view Kurrle (U.S. 6,214,766).

Application/Control Number: 10/713,169

Art Unit: 1774

Ahlm, Jr. is relied upon for instant claims 11, 20 and 21. Ahlm, Jr. does not explicitly disclose the printing process for applying the coated material to be a flexo device. Kurrle teaches a paper product made to be authenticatable by the application of a printed image containing starch applied to the paper product and where a solution added to the surface of the paper produces an instantaneous blue or black color (column 3, lines 20-31) where the coated printed material can be applied using a flexography printing process. Ahlm, Jr. and Kurrle are both directed to verifying the authenticity of a paper product. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have applied to coated printed material using flexography, as taught in Kurrle, in the paper or coupon of Ahlm, Jr. to produce an improved layer of material on the surface of the paper which will not readily come off the surface.

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Krueger et al (U.S. 3,523,866) teaches an authenticatable paper containing a dye which is capable of producing a distinctive color upon treatment with a second component (column 1, lines 21-25).

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lawrence Ferguson whose telephone number is 571-272-1522. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 9:00 AM – 5:30PM.

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 10/713,169

Art Unit: 1774

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rena Dye, can be reached on 571-272-3186. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

L. Ferguson

Patent Examiner

AU 1774

RENA DYE

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

A.U. 1724 3/20/04